COBURG CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



Coordinated Population Projection

Resolution No. 2005-02: A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A COORDINATED POPULATION PROJECTION FOR THE CITY OF COBURG

Meeting Date: January 4, 2005 Staff Contact: Ashley DeForest

Contact Telephone Number: 682-7858

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

To comply with state statute, population projections for all urban growth boundaries (UGB) and the rural county must be consistent with county projections made by the Oregon Office of Economic Analysis (OEA). As directed by the State, jurisdictions must use these coordinated projections when maintaining and updating comprehensive plans, transportation system plans, and infrastructure planning.

Attached is the 2025 and 2030 population allocation for all jurisdictions in Lane County. The population projections reflected for Coburg are consistent with the numbers being used for the Periodic Review Work Tasks. This allocation will be presented to the Lane Council of Governments Board for review and adoption.

BACKGROUND

By state statute, ORS 195.036, urban growth boundary (UGB) population projections are required to be coordinated within counties.

"195.036 Area population forecast; coordination. The coordinating body under ORS 195.025 (1) shall establish and maintain a population forecast for the entire area within its boundary for use in maintaining and updating comprehensive plans, and shall coordinate the forecast with the local governments within its boundary. [1995 c.547 §7 (enacted in lieu of 195.035)]"

In Lane County, the role of coordinating body was transferred from Lane County to the Lane Council of Governments (LCOG) in 1974. The coordination effort requires that the population of all UGBs in Lane County plus the population outside UGBs coordinate with a county-wide projected population total prepared by the Oregon Office of Economic Analysis (OEA). The Oregon Office of Economic Analysis will update the state and county population projections after the 2005 population estimates for the

counties are available. At that point, the population allocation within Lane County will need to be reviewed and perhaps revised.

The task of LCOG as the coordinating body was to divide (i.e., allocate) the county population among all the UGBs and the rural county for 2025 and 2030. This section describes the methodology used to produce the results shown in the attached table.

To accomplish the population allocation, existing sources of population projections and historic trends were used. LCOG staff reviewed 1990 and 2000 population figures and historic trends of the proportion the UGB population was of the total Lane County population. Projections from comprehensive plans and transportation system plans were also reviewed. For the population outside of UGBs, Lane County staff provided information. Based on this analysis, a preliminary allocation was prepared.

At this point, LCOG staff convened a meeting of staff from all jurisdictions in Lane County to review and comment on the preliminary allocation. Comments were obtained and the allocation for 2025 and 2030 was modified. In addition, the county projections were updated and adjusted to the 2004 population estimate produced by the Population Research Center at Portland State University. To better reflect the precision of the county projection, a population range was created around the Lane County projection. This range is applied to 2025 and 2030 and is plus or minus five percent of the Lane County projection.

A second meeting of Lane County jurisdictional staff was then conducted to review and comment on the revised allocation. At this meeting, the jurisdictional committee decided to finalize the county population allocation, present the population allocation to their governing bodies and then forward to the LCOG Board for review and adoption. The LCOG Board will process the coordinated population allocation as a legislative land use decision. A public hearing will be scheduled. One week prior to the public hearing a display add will be placed in local papers including Register Guard, Springfield News, Siuslaw News, TriCounty News, Dead Mountain/Echo, West Lane News informing the public of the public hearing on coordinated population projections for Lane County jurisdictions.

Council Goals

Not applicable.

Financial and/or Resource Considerations

Not applicable.

Timing

City Council action on the Resolution is scheduled for January 4th, 2005.

OPTIONS

1. Adopt the Resolution;

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-02

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A COORDINATED POPULATION PROJECTION FOR THE CITY OF COBURG

The City Council of the City of Coburg finds as follows:

- A. ORS 195.025(1) provides that counties are responsible for coordinating all planning activities affecting land uses within the county, including planning activities of the county, cities, special districts and state agencies; and
- **B.** ORS 195.025(4) allows a voluntary association of local governments to assume a county's coordination responsibilities if the voluntary association of governments adopts a resolution authorizing the delegation of those responsibilities and the resolution is ratified by each participating county and a majority of the participating cities; and
- C. On July 25, 1974 the Board of Directors of the Lane Council of Governments (LCOG), a voluntary association of local governments, passed a resolution accepting the review, advisory and coordination functions assigned to Lane County by ORS 195.025(1); and
- **D.** The resolution passed by the LCOG Board of Directors was ratified by the governing bodies of Lane County and a majority of the cities in Lane County; and
- **E.** ORS 195.036 requires the coordination body under ORS 195.025(1) to establish and maintain a population forecast for the entire area within its boundary; and
- **F.** The Oregon Office of Economic Analysis (OEA) is responsible for making population projections for the state and counties and the local coordination body is responsible for allocating the projected county population among the cities and the county; and
- G. LCOG staff, using existing sources of population projections, historic trends and input from the staff of the county and cities within Lane County, has coordinated with OEA staff on the proposed Lane County population and has allocated the projected population among Lane County urban growth boundaries; and
- **H.** The allocated population projections must be reviewed by the cities within Lane County prior to approval of the allocated population projections by the Board of Directors of the Lane Council of Governments.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COBURG, a Municipal Corporation of the State of Oregon, as follows:

Section 1. The urban growth boundary allocation of projected population for Lane County, Oregon, as set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto, is hereby adopted.

- 2. Amend the Resolution prior to adoption. If amended, the Resolution will be scheduled for final action at a future date, or
- 3. Not adopt the Resolution.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Adoption of Resolution 2005-02.

SUGGESTED MOTION

Motion to adopt Resolution 2005-02, A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A COORDINATED POPULATION PROJECTION FOR THE CITY OF COBURG.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Resolution 2005-02: Coordinated Population Projection
- B. 2025 & 2030 Coordinated Population Allocation Table

Section 2. Unless and until otherwise modified by the City Council, the population projections that pertain to the City in Exhibit A shall be used in land use, transportation and public facility planning by the City.

The vote of the Council was as follows:

YES	
NO	
ABSTENTION: none	-
PASSED: unanimous-6	2
REJECTED:O	_
SIGNED AND APPROVED by the	Mayor on this 4th day of January, 2005. Judy Volta, Mayor
ATTEST	
Marlene Callath City Recorder	

	Total of	OUTSIDE Outside	A WESTFIR UGBS UGBS	2,519 278 62,043 282,912	2,762 280 63,664 322,977	3,660 330 61,710 333,350	5,200 400 56,500 414,100	5,600 410 56,000 437,060		0.93% 0.07% 0.26%	7.29% 4.19% -0.78%	2.56% 1.44% -0.48%	2.38% 1.28% -0.43%
			LOWELL OAKRIDGE VENETA WESTFIR	3,207 2,	3,270 2,	3,780 3,	4,000 5,	4,050 5,		0.19% 0.9	3.69% 7.2	0.81% 2.5	0.72% 2.3
h Rates		NOIL	CITY* LOWELL O	4,596 785	5,858 880	0006 000'9	8,500 1,500	9,800 1,700		2.46% 1.15%	0.60% 0.56%	1.50% 2.16%	1.73% 2.22%
County with Annual Average Growth Rates		JUNCTION	FLORENCE CIT	6,334	8,751	9,310	15,600	17,200		3.29%	1.56%	2.34%	2.28%
ith Annual		DUNES	CITY	1,081	1,241	1,300	1,800	2,000		1.39%	1.17%	1.50%	1.60%
e County w			CRESWELL	3,176	3,909	4,440	7,300	8,000		2.10%	3.24%	2.53%	2.42%
Population Allocation for Urban Growth Boundary Areas in Lane		COTTAGE	GROVE	7,950	068'8	9,450	12,500	13,400		1.12%	1.54%	1.37%	1.38%
undary Ar			EUG/SPR COBURG	763	696	1,050	3,300	4,200		2.42%	2.03%	5.02%	5.01%
rowth Bo				190,180	222,503	231,420	297,500	314,700		1.58%	0.99%	1.17%	1.16%
Urban G	inty		High				410,790 431,330	453,558		.0	.0	.0	.0
ation for	Lane County		Forecast	282,912	322,977	333,350		431,960		1.33%	0.79%	0.97%	0.97%
ion Alloc			Low				390,251	410,362				10	0
Populati			Year	1990	2000	2004	2025	2030	1990	2000	2004	2000-2025	2000-2030

1990 and 2000 population figures are based on Census data. 2004 county figures are population estimates produced by the Population Research Center at PSU.
2004 UGB estimates are based on 2004 PSU city estimates and estimates of population outside cities inside UGBs if applicable.
* Junction City population projection will be affected by prison construction although timing is not known. Once prison construction moves forward, the projections will be modified.

ca	tion for L	Jrban Gi	rowth Bou	indary Ar	Population Allocation for Urban Growth Boundary Areas in Lane	e County w	ith Perce	County with Percent of Forecasted County Population	asted Coun	ty Popul	ation				
اترا	Lane County	nty													Total of
					COTTAGE		DUNES		JUNCTION					OUTSIDE	Outside
	Forecast	High	EUG/SPR COBURG	COBURG		CRESWELL	CITY	FLORENCE	020	LOWELL	LOWELL OAKRIDGE VENETA WESTFIR	VENETA	WESTFIR	UGBs	UGBs
-	282,912)	190,180	763	7,950	3,176	1,081	6,334	4,596	785	3,207	2,519	278	62,043	282,912
1	322,977		222,503	696	8,890	3,909	1,241	8,751	5,858	880	3,270	2,762	280	63,664	322,977
	333,350		231,420	1,050	9,450	4,440	1,300	9,310	000'9	006	3,780	3,660	330	61,710	333,350
390.251	1	431,330	上	3,300	12,500	7,300	1,800	15,600	8,500	1,500	4,000	5,200	400	56,500	414,100
410,362	431,960 453,558	453,558	-	4,200	13,400	8,000	2,000	17,200	9,800	1,700	4,050	5,600	410	26,000	437,060
Г															
Г			72.4%	%8.0	3.0%	1.8%	0.4%	3.8%	2.1%	0.4%	1.0%				
			72.9%	1.0%	3.1%	1.9%	%5'0	4.0%	2.3%	0.4%	%6.0	1.3%	0.1%	13.0%	

1990 and 2000 population figures are based on Census data. 2004 county figures are population estimates produced by the Population Research Center at PSU.
2004 UGB estimates are based on 2004 PSU city estimates and estimates of population outside cities inside UGBs if applicable.

* Junction City population will be affected by prison construction although timing is not known. Once prison construction moves forward, the projections will be modified.